

IIPT SC Meeting Wednesday May 19, 2021

Minutes taken by Karen Mohatt

Present: Sue, Nancy, Karen, Colleen, Michelle, Lea, Matt, Peter, Jane, Peter

Absent: Janine

Minutes from the April meeting were approved: motion by Nancy.

1. Acceptance of Child Case as third approved analytic case: Considerable discussion with the question apparently originating internally. It's been noticed that other APsaA programs allow for this and there's the thought that it might benefit IPI. Question about would the third case include that of a toddler? EX: Peter who has finished the Child Psychotherapy program and applied to the Child Analytic track. Question about whether we would have to consider offering additional child analytic courses? Nancy raised the idea of someone doing a White Paper so the SC can review these questions after more programmatic research is completed. Concerns about whether this may weaken our program. Jill is doing background research on the # of APsaA programs working in this way and what the curriculums look like. Decision is that we need a lot more thinking about this process and not yet a decision. Nancy will begin the discussion around a combined program perhaps with 2 adult cases and 1 late teen or 3 adults and a toddler/child/adult. Suggestion by Lea to evaluate the combined program Samuele did (3 adults, 2 child/adolescents, and he needs one more adol/child case). This was apparently both a marketing idea and to be more competitive with APsaA and DPE programs, and to add to our program in understanding adult patients.
2. RE: a third SA discussant at the Summer Institute: Question is if a new SA can work with the CCC? After discussion it was the SC recommendation that a new SA work with an individual candidate first.
3. RE: changing the distinction of Supervising Analyst to Consulting Analyst. This is happening in other institutes, seems to be a trend toward this due to both liability and geographic issues. Discussion: we need clarity around the role of SA/CA documented for supervisees to sign, also need a solid legal document that Supervisees/Candidates sign. Advise to check with Stan about what he drew up and circulate to us to review. We need a document that both the individual supervisor and candidate sign. The candidate signature represents that the candidate is fully trained to manage Pt care from a medical standpoint and the SA/CA signature is for the purpose of training and learning, etc. Colleen was writing to Janine about this as we spoke about it.

4. Committee Reports:

Peter – candidates made it through year one, no complaints.

Karen – CPC: there are continued requests to make changes in parts of the Handbook. Some changes have been made, but we need to hold in mind what is going on with the candidates that so many changes have been requested, and generally not by the entire group of candidates.

Sue: asked what happens with the candidates's file after they are graduated. We keep it one year and then ask the candidate to dispose of it or send it to the candidate.

Nancy – FDC: 2 candidates asked for SAs that are not yet adjuncts. The FDC sent a letter to each potential adjunct asking them to confirm their interest and informing them that IPI requires

them to become Associate Faculty and pay appropriate fees and agree to not charge above 200.00/supervisory hour. There was a question around whether to require the adjunct SA to teach or not, or are they allowed the flexibility to take on tasks as they wish? Sue and Colleen will take this back to Colleen and Janine.

Janine - Admissions: Janine absent, no report.

Michelle K - Curriculum: the summer curriculum will be completed any moment, just waiting on who will lead the CCC and whom will be presenting. Colleen and Sue will do a syllabus review as this needs to be completed twice a year. They will also be meeting with candidates for updates each semester.

Lea – Sas: Which candidate will be presenting in the Fall? Some candidates are taking their cases back to the Office vs online in the Fall. Two adjuncts requested (I couldn't read my own note here so asking if you recall what this was about?)

Respectfully submitted by Karen Mohatt