

IIPT Steering Committee Minutes

April 21, 2021

Present: Sue Cebulko (Chair), Collen Sandor (Chair), Jane Garbose, Michelle Kwintner, Karen Mohatt, Lea Setton, Matt Rosa, Janine Wanlass

Absent: Nancy Bakalar, Peter Gross (Candidate Rep)

The March 2021 minutes were approved with the omission of one phrase that seemed redundant.

1. Peter could not attend but we heard about the candidates' concerns. One candidate is objecting to a possible change in the frame to the summer institute. It conflicts with her prior plans. We will address those details later in the meeting.

Candidates would like the seminar following a weekend to be a ccc. Candidates would like an updated list of SAs. They expressed gratitude for all the time and attention that Sue and Colleen have given them in meetings.

2. Committee reports:

FDC: Two analysts have expressed interest in becoming teaching analysts. One has done two observed teaching sessions but has not submitted the application. The other will coordinate with MK to schedule two teaching sessions..

We have one analyst applying to become an SA. Application materials have all been received and her panel is pending, after which the FDC will meet to review the application package and report of the panel.

Waiting on letters to go out to the current adjuncts informing them of the new requirements etc, and also to the nominee/new adjuncts about requirements for membership status/dues and obligation to teach, etc.

Curriculum: Summer curriculum, which should be finalized any day now.

SA: The SA's discussed the departure of one candidate from the program.

Admissions: The new candidate rep will get acclimated to the process. There is a need to get clarity about a variety of details: when will next class begin, what will there be for holding applicants (eg Intro to Psa). Infant Observation not available as usual due to pandemic. Further clarification about whether we will offer four weekends on site in the future.

Questions to consider are: do we have the personnel to cover the committees if two classes were to overlap, how would we hold those who wait, could we lose people if we wait, if we require travel?

Request to put on the website date we decide to take another class (to avoid inquiries from those who do not wish to wait). Request to send a questionnaire to the faculty about resources, sense of cohesion of program if we were to meet online for two weekends each year.

Discussion of thinking about changing the frame even after the pandemic doesn't require it. Is there a basic dependency need that is not being met such that it's hard to move back and forth between the basic assumptions and the work? Does the hotel offer orientation in space and time that candidates need? Or to put it another way, are the candidates lacking an environmental mother? Or to put it in even another way, are there powerful manic defenses against feeling loss? How do these forces impact our decisions about whether to change the frame even when CDC guidelines allow for on-site gatherings?

CPC: There was a regular meeting to process one candidate's panel. Another emergency meeting to discuss departure of a candidate from the program

3. Summer Institute:

Need to finalize social hour with Core.

Need to finalize welcome. Some faculty have a conflict on Wednesday morning when the institute begins. Decision to move the welcome to 8:55- 9:25 am.

Need for two SAs to do clinical case discussion.

4. Current Candidates:

At a recent meeting, candidates expressed anger at leadership. It was felt they moved to a more thinking space during the meeting. They reported they missed the chance to say goodbye to their GAM leader and to work with the material of the April weekend.

One faculty member has heard that the candidates feel pain and loss of the chance to meet in person yet feel there is a lot of good learning.

Next IIPT meeting: Wednesday, May 19, 2021 12:00 pm EDT

Respectfully submitted: Michelle Kwintner