

Meeting of the ICTC. 2/5/2020 at 12:00

Attendance: Jim Poulton, Charles Ashbach, Nancy Bakalar, Suzanne St. John, Lorrie Peters, Sheila Hill, Michelle Kwintner, Carla-Trusty-Smith, Ana Barroso, Patrizie Pallaro

Discussion of the purpose this committee: Should the committee look at all the concepts to determine the core concepts? One purpose is to streamline the teaching of all IPI programs. Discussion of what is meant by old and new: Jim stated that proposal will need to include both the old and the new: Freud to Ogden.

GAM training is not a part of the IPI curriculum that this committee will be reviewing- it was tabled.

Charles Ashbach was welcomed as a new member of ICTC.

Approval of minutes: unanimously.

Presentation at faculty meeting: Jim will write a draft – email for approval. The emphasis/mission will be to streamline the core concepts to reduce duplication. Michelle questioned how “this” will look. Jim stated that the ICTC is making a recommendation not determining specific program curriculum.

Which Authors to include: Sheila questioned the inclusion and exclusion of authors. She voiced a concern that new authors were not on the list. Again, it was discussed that a mission of the ICTC is to decrease the work – if a core curriculum is developed the assumption is that there will be a reduction in the duplication and increased communication between programs within IPI.

What does Core mean: Michelle raised the question of what is meant by Core? It was discussed and agreed that the ICTC is not transforming the core program but developing the core concepts taught at IPI. Suzanne voiced a concern that the Core Program’s teachings do not

follow the weekend teachings. Weekends are different each year, so each core class has a different teaching depending on the weekends of their 2-year program. Jim stated that changes to the core program is not the focus. Michelle stated that core is a confusing term for IPI.

Jim spoke to the anxiety of IPI – what will happen to IPI. Again, stated that this is a proposal not a change in any program – ICTC does not have that power. Lorrie talked about her anxiety related to the Core program – all of IPI needs to be worried about recruitment. Jim talked about natural anxiety. Nancy made an association to college programs sharing curriculum. Patrizie reminded the committee that we need to be careful with words.

Mistakes: Michelle said that just because we are careful with our words doesn't mean we will eliminate misunderstandings. She talked about inclusion and exclusion of authors again. Charles spoke to Lorrie's concerns related to the core program: will the program be alive, what if there is a curriculum but no students, what if the market is changing, what structure of learning attracts students? Jim talked about everyday course as an entry point to IPI – a bridge to the core. Shelia, Michelle, and Charles spoke about core program and recruitment difficulties.

The List: Jim asked the committee to think about the list for the last 10 minutes– shared the list on the shared screen. Names and Headings added:

Contemporary: Jim Grotstein

Self Psychology

Narcissism

French: Julia Kristeva

Feminist Psychoanalysis

J Mitchell

R Prelbey

Nancy Chodorow

Next meeting 3/4/2020 at 12:00 ET

Lorrie Peters 2/16/2020